

**CLAIM EVIDENCE REASONING RUBRIC** (Version 2.70) Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_/\_\_\_/\_\_\_ Period: \_\_\_

Score	4 (Exemplary)	3 (Meets Standard)	1-2 (Approaching Standard)	0 (Missing or Wholly Inaccurate)
<b>Focus</b>	<p><b>Your claim is consistently and purposefully focused:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Your claim is clearly stated and strongly maintained</li> <li>• Your claim is introduced and communicated clearly within context.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Your claim is adequately and general focused:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Your claim is clear and for the most part maintained through some loosely related material may be present.</li> <li>• Some context for the Your claim.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Your claim is somewhat unclear and unfocused:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Your claim is for the most part maintained though there may be a minor drift.</li> <li>• Your claim may be lacking an appropriate context.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Your claim is unclear and unfocused:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Your claim may have a major drift.</li> <li>• Your claim may be confusing or ambiguous.</li> </ul>
<b>Organization</b>	<p><b>Your claim has a clear and effective organizational structure helping create unity and completeness:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Employs a strong opening and logical progression of ideas.</li> <li>• Effective introduction and conclusion for audience and purpose.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Your claim has an evident organizational structure and a sense of completeness, though some ideas may be loosely connected:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Adequate use of transitional strategies with some variety.</li> <li>• Ideas progress from beginning to end.</li> <li>• Introduction and conclusion are adequate.</li> <li>• Adequate, if slightly inconsistent, connection among ideas.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Your claim has an inconsistent organizational structure:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Inconsistent use of transitional strategies with little variety.</li> <li>• Ideas progress unevenly from beginning to end.</li> <li>• Introduction and conclusion, if present, are weak.</li> <li>• Weak connection between ideas.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Your claim has little or no discernible organizational structure:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Few or no transitional strategies are evident.</li> <li>• Frequent extraneous ideas may intrude.</li> </ul>
<b>Elaboration of Evidence</b>	<p><b>Your claim provides thorough and convincing support/evidence for your main topic that includes the accurate and effective use of sources, facts, and details:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• use of evidence from sources is smoothly integrated</li> </ul>	<p><b>Your claim provides adequate support/evidence for your main topic that includes the use of sources, facts, and details:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• some evidence from sources is smoothly integrated though it may be general or imprecise</li> </ul>	<p><b>The response provides uneven or cursory evidence for your main topic:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• includes partial or superficial use of sources, facts, and details: evidence from sources is weakly integrated</li> </ul>	<p><b>Your claim provides minimal support/evidence for your topic</b></p> <p>use of evidence from the source material is minimal, absent, in error, or irrelevant</p>
	<p><b>A calculations section is present where you clearly and accurately explain HOW you performed each calculation and/or each measurement</b></p>	<p><b>A calculations section is present where you generally explain your calculation and/or measurement methods</b></p>	<p><b>A calculations section is present. However, your explanations only weakly support your calculations /measurements or are frequently inaccurate</b></p>	<p><b>A calculations section is absent and/or your explanations are missing or wholly inaccurate</b></p>
<b>Reasoning</b>	<p><b>Your claim clearly and effectively expresses ideas:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• use of precise language (including academic and science-specific language)</li> <li>• consistent use of syntax and discourse appropriate to the audience and purpose</li> </ul>	<p><b>Your claim adequately expresses ideas employing a mix of precise with more general language:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• use of academic and science-specific language is adequate</li> <li>• use of syntax and discourse generally appropriate to the audience and purpose</li> </ul>	<p><b>Your claim inconsistently expresses ideas employing simplistic language:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• use of science-specific insufficient use of academic and science specific language</li> <li>• Use of syntax and discourse may at times be inappropriate to the audience and purpose</li> </ul>	<p><b>Your claim expresses vague ideas, lacks clarity, or is confusing:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• uses limited language or science specific vocabulary</li> <li>• rudimentary use of syntax and discourse inappropriate for the audience and purpose</li> </ul>

***NOTE: This project is weighted x 2***

Did you have someone else review AND score your project using this rubric? Yes / No

Name of evaluator: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature of evaluator: \_\_\_\_\_